



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/23/7,739

3/20/00

Amagai et al

201487/1070

EXAMINER
----------

G. Janice Li

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED:

3/22/04

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) G. Janice Li, PTO (3) Michael Goldman, Appl. Rep

(2) (4)

Date of interview 3/22/04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Marians et al, PNAS 2002,

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

N/A

Claims discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: General discussion regarding § 12, 1st paragraph. a. the source of donors, the spec does not appear to support a donor with the characteristics in nature, and it is unpredictable to make a knockout that is ~~also~~ both viable and suitable. b. It still requires undue experimentation to make an autoimmune model with donor cells. Appl. Rep. pointed to the newly submitted exhibits addressing the issues. The examiner (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) indicated the new exhibits will not be considered at this stage of prosecution.

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature